Translation

Reco 270 13 JUN 2005

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY



PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

| Applicant's or agent's file reference | | See Notific | cation of Transmittal of International | | | |
|--|---|-------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| PaC402076PCT | FOR FURTHER ACT | Preliminary | Examination Report (Form PCT/IPEA/416) | | | |
| International application No. | International filing date | - | Priority date (day/month/year) | | | |
| PCT/FR2003/003648 | 10 décembre 2003 | | 13 décembre 2002 (13.12.2002) | | | |
| International Patent Classification (IPC) or n B29C 70/50, 33/00, 70/08 | ational classification and | IPC | · | | | |
| • | | | | | | |
| Applicant | | | | | | |
| SAII | NT-GOBAIN VETR | OTEX FRANCE | S.A. | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| This international preliminary examination report has been prepared by this International Preliminary Examining Authority and is transmitted to the applicant according to Article 36. | | | | | | |
| 2. This REPORT consists of a total of | 5 sheets, i | ncluding this cover | sheet. | | | |
| This report is also accompan amended and are the basis for 70.16 and Section 607 of the | or this report and/or sheets | containing rectifications | on, claims and/or drawings which have been ations made before this Authority (see Rule | | | |
| These annexes consist of a to | otal ofsh | neets. | | | | |
| This report contains indications relations. | ating to the following iten | ns: | | | | |
| I Basis of the report | | | | | | |
| II Priority | | | | | | |
| III Non-establishment | of opinion with regard to | novelty, inventive s | tep and industrial applicability | | | |
| IV Lack of unity of in | vention | | | | | |
| v Reasoned statemen | t under Article 35(2) with nations supporting such s | n regard to novelty, in tatement | nventive step or industrial applicability; | | | |
| VI Certain documents | cited | | | | | |
| VII Certain defects in t | the international application | on . | | | | |
| VIII Certain observation | ns on the international app | olication | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Date of submission of the demand | | Date of completion | of this report | | | |
| 11 février 2004 (11.02 | 2.2004) | 10 | March 2005 (10.03.2005) | | | |
| Name and mailing address of the IPEA/ER |) | Authorized officer | | | | |
| Facsimile No. | | Telephone No. | | | | |



INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

PCT/FR2003/003648

| I. Ba | sis of th | he rep | port | |
|------------------|----------------------|-----------------|--|------------------------------|
| 1. W | ith rega | ard to | the elements of the international application:* | |
| | the | inter | mational application as originally filed | |
| \triangleright | the | desc | cription: | |
| | – paį | ges | 1-17 | , as originally filed |
| | pa | ges | | , filed with the demand |
| | pa | ges . | , filed with the letter of | |
| l ⊳ | \ the | e clair | ms: | |
| ~ | pa | ges | 1-21 | , as originally filed |
| | pa | ges | , as amended (together with any | statement under Article 19 |
| | pa | ges | | , filed with the demand |
| | pa | iges | , filed with the letter of | |
| D | (T) the | e drav | wings: | |
| - | _ | iges | 1/2-2/2 | , as originally filed |
| | pa | ages | | , filed with the demand |
| | pa | ages | , filed with the letter of | |
| lr | T the | seane | ence listing part of the description: | |
| ╽└ | | ages | Moo noming pair of the deser-promi | , as originally filed |
| | - | ages | | , filed with the demand |
| | - | ages | , filed with the letter of | |
| 41 | he inter These el | matio: lemen | to the language, all the elements marked above were available or furnished to this Authority and application was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item. Its were available or furnished to this Authority in the following language | which is: |
| 1 } | | | nguage of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rule 23.1(b |)))· |
| | | | nguage of publication of the international application (under Rule 48.3(b)). nguage of the translation furnished for the purposes of international preliminary examina | tion (under Rule 55.2 and) |
| | 0 | or 55.3 | 3). | |
| 3. | prelimir | nary e | l to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international appexamination was carried out on the basis of the sequence listing: | plication, the international |
| | | | ined in the international application in written form. | |
| | | | ogether with the international application in computer readable form. | |
| | | | hed subsequently to this Authority in written form. | |
| | | | hed subsequently to this Authority in computer readable form. | |
| | i | intern | statement that the subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go bey national application as filed has been furnished. | |
| | | | statement that the information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the v furnished. | written sequence listing has |
| 4. | | The a | umendments have resulted in the cancellation of: | |
| | [| | the description, pages | |
| | [| | the claims, Nos. | |
| 1 | | | the drawings, sheets/fig | |
| 5. | | This r beyon | report has been established as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, since they at the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the Supplemental Box (Rule 70.2(c)).** | have been considered to go |
| | in this | repo).17). | it sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation und ort as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report since they do not contai | (11110 / 01-0 |
| ** | Any re | place | ment sheet containing such amendments must be referred to under item l and annexed to th | his report. |

| V. | Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement |
|----|---|
|----|---|

| . 5 | Statement | | | |
|---------------------|-------------------------------|--------|--------------------|------|
| | Novelty (N) | Claims | 1-11, 13-15, 17-21 | YES |
| | | Claims | 12, 16 | NO |
| Inventive step (IS) | Claims | | _ YES | |
| | | Claims | 1-21 | _ NO |
| | Industrial applicability (IA) | Claims | 1-21 | YES |
| | | Claims | | NO |

2. Citations and explanations

1. The application does not fulfil the requirements of PCT Article 33(3) because the subject matter of claim 1 does not involve an inventive step.

Document EP-A-0 410 678 (D1) describes a method for producing composite plates from a reinforcement sheet made of continuous fibres (see column 3, lines 17-21 and 55-58) impregnated with a thermoplastic organic matrix (see column 4, lines 5-7), which plates have a surface layer made of an organic material of the same kind as said matrix (see column 4, lines 8-10). D1 discloses that the material in said surface layer can be dusted onto the preimpregnated reinforcement (see column 4, line 17). D1 also discloses that the method can be carried out continuously using a double belt press (see column 4, lines 35-36). Since the material in said surface layer is thermoplastic, it is obvious that the sheet must be heated so that the powder will melt and form said layer and that, after compression, said sheet must be cooled. The additional features in claim 1, i.e. the steps of depositing said reinforcement sheet on a substrate prior to dusting and of cutting or winding the end product, are routine steps that

cannot be considered to involve an inventive step.

- 2. For the same reasons as those mentioned in point 1, document D1 at least implicitly describes a device including elements (a) to (d) of claim 12. As a result, the subject matter of claim 12 is not novel over D1, contrary to the requirements of PCT Article 33(2).
- 3. The product claimed in independent claim 16 is characterised by the production method therefor. A product cannot be considered to be novel and inventive unless it is characterised by novel and inventive features. It cannot be deemed to be novel and inventive simply because it is produced using a method that is, per se, novel and inventive.

 Document D1 describes a composite plate that can be produced using the method in claim 1 and has a coating layer, which can be up to 500 microns thick (see claim 1). As a result, the subject matter of claim 16 is not novel.
- 4. Since the use of composite plates in the production of vehicle panels is well known, the subject matter of claim 18 does not appear to involve an inventive step.
- 5. The subject matter of dependent claims 2 to 11, 13 to 15, 17 and 19 to 21 is either known from the prior art or appears to be obvious to a person skilled in the art.
- 6. Contrary to the requirements of PCT Rule 5.1(a)(ii), the description does not indicate the relevant prior art disclosed in document D1, nor does it cite said